

Homotopy setoids as elementary quotient completion

CIPRIANO JR. CIOFFO^{1,*}

Università degli Studi di Milano
cipriano.cioffo@unimi.it

Setoids, or Bishop sets, provide a notion of set in constructive mathematics. In *intensional* Martin-Löf intuitionistic type theory [8], they provide a way to reconcile the good computational properties of the system with the desirable extensional constructs [5]. In particular, setoids formally add quotients of equivalence relations to the system. A setoid is a pair (X, R) where X is a closed type and R is a dependent type of the form

$$x_1, x_2 : X \vdash R(x_1, x_2)$$

which is an equivalence relation. In categorical logic, there are various constructions for completing a category with quotients, and setoids appear as an instance of the main construction called the *exact completion*. Given a weakly left exact category (wlex) \mathcal{C} , the exact completion \mathcal{C}_{ex} of \mathcal{C} is an *exact* category [2]. Closed types and functions up to functional extensionality form a category **ML** which has finite products and weak pullbacks. Setoids and functions preserving relations form a category denoted with **Std**. It turns out that the category **Std** is equivalent to the exact completion of **ML**.

In order to study the properties of the exact completion \mathcal{C}_{ex} , one can verify if the category \mathcal{C} shares a weaker version of these properties. For instance, in [1, Theorem 3.3] and [3, Theorem 3.6] the authors characterize the categories whose exact completion leads to a *local cartesian closed* category (lcc). In [4, Proposition 2.1], the authors characterize the categories whose exact completion is an *extensive* category. These results apply to the category of setoids which is a well-known lcc *pretopos*, see [7]. Hence, we have the following facts.

Facts. *The category **Std** is an lcc pretopos and $\mathbf{ML}_{ex} \cong \mathbf{Std}$.*

In this work, we have considered a homotopical version of setoids in view of ideas from the homotopy type theory [9]. We have defined a *homotopy setoids* as a setoid (X, R) such that the base type X is an *h-set* and the equivalence relation R is an *h-proposition*. By definition, h-props and h-sets are types such that the following types are inhabited

$$\text{is-prop}(R) := \prod_{x,y:R} \text{Id}_R(x, y) \quad \text{is-set}(X) := \prod_{x,y:X} \text{is-prop}(\text{Id}_X(x, y)).$$

Intuitively, h-propositions are types that are empty or contractible and h-sets are types that are *discrete*. This is a natural restriction to consider since the set-based mathematics can be formalized using only these two homotopy levels. We denote with **Std**₀ the full subcategory of **Std** of h-setoids and with **ML**₀ the full subcategory of **ML** of h-sets. Our main objective was to prove that **Std**₀ shares properties similar to **Std**.

Problem. *The category **Std**₀ is not exact.*

*Speaker.

A possible solution to this problem is to study homotopy setoids in the more general context of *elementary doctrines*, which were introduced by Maietti and Rosolini in [6]. An elementary doctrine consists of a suitable functor $\mathbf{P} : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pos}$ from a category \mathcal{C} with finite products to the category of *partially ordered sets (posets)* and monotone functions. The key feature is that for each object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists an element $\delta_X \in \mathbf{P}(X \times X)$, called the *fibred equality*, which plays the role of the equality predicate.

An example is given by the functor $F^{ML} : \mathbf{ML}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pos}$ which associates to each closed type X , the poset of the types depending on X up to *logical equivalence*. The action of F^{ML} on arrows is given by substitution of terms. Similarly, we can define the functor $F^{ML_0} : \mathbf{ML}_0^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pos}$ which sends an h-set X to the poset of the types depending on X which are h-propositions.

If \mathbf{P} is an elementary doctrine, it is possible to define \mathbf{P} -eq. relations and the corresponding notion of well-behaved quotient. In [6], the authors provide a construction which associates to each elementary doctrine \mathbf{P} an elementary doctrine $\overline{\mathbf{P}} : \overline{\mathcal{C}}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pos}$, called the *elementary quotient completion* of \mathbf{P} , with well-behaved quotients in a suitable universal way. The following are examples of elementary quotient completion:

1. The exact completion of a category with finite products and weak pullbacks is an instance of the elementary quotient completion for the elementary doctrine of *weak subobjects*.
2. The category **Std** is equivalent to the base category **ML** and the category **Std**₀ is equivalent to the base category **ML**₀.

Hence, in order to study the properties of **Std**₀, we have provided a generalization of [1, Theorem 3.3] and [4, Proposition 2.1] to the context of elementary doctrines and elementary quotient completion. We have defined a *relative pretoposes* as an elementary doctrines $\mathbf{P} : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pos}$ with well-behaved quotients such that the category \mathcal{C} is extensive, (in this case the category \mathcal{C} is called a pretopos relative to \mathbf{P}). We have applied the results to F^{ML_0} and we have obtained the following property for h-setoids.

Theorem. *The category **Std**₀ is a lcc pretopos relative to $\overline{F^{ML_0}}$.*

References

- [1] A. Carboni and G. Rosolini. Locally Cartesian closed exact completions. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 154.1-3 (2000), pp. 103–116.
- [2] A. Carboni and E. M. Vitale. Regular and exact completions. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 125.1-3 (1998), pp. 79–116.
- [3] J. Emmenegger. On the local cartesian closure of exact completions. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 224.11 (2020), pp. 106414, 25.
- [4] M. Gran and E. M. Vitale. On the exact completion of the homotopy category. In: *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég.* 39.4 (1998), pp. 287–297.
- [5] M. Hofmann. Extensional concepts in intensional type theory. PhD thesis. University of Edinburgh, 1995.
- [6] M. E. Maietti and G. Rosolini. Quotient completion for the foundation of constructive mathematics. In: *Log. Univers.* 7.3 (2013), pp. 371–402.
- [7] I. Moerdijk and E. Palmgren. Wellfounded trees in categories. In: *Proceedings of the Workshop on Proof Theory and Complexity*, PTAC’98 (Aarhus). Vol. 104. 1-3. 2000, pp. 189–218.
- [8] B. Nordström, K. Petersson, and J. M. Smith. *Programming in Martin-Löf’s type theory*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1990.
- [9] T. Univalent Foundations Program. *Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics*. Institute for Advanced Study: <https://homotopytypetheory.org/book>, 2013.